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RESEARCH QUESTIONS

It’s unclear when in development children understand the meaning of paralinguistic cues to certainty, and how this develops.

When do paralinguistic cues to certainty influence children’s selective social learning?

What role does theory of mind play in predicting children’s understanding of paralinguistic cues to certainty?

METHOD

Participants: N = 66 (50% male)
34 children ages 3-4 (M = 50 mths, SD = 6.7, 56% TOM)
32 children ages 5-6 (M = 73 mths, SD = 8.1, 66% TOM)

Procedure
4 Endorse trials:
“*I know one of these is called a [ticket] but I’m not sure which one so I asked my friends.*

2 speakers (audio-only):
- 1 certain: Fast rate of speech, declining pitch:
  - e.g. “That’s a rayble, Yeah, that’s a rayble.”
- 1 uncertain: Slower and less fluent speech, rising pitch
  - e.g. “That’s a rayble? yeah...that’s a rayble?”

4 Ask trials:
“I also have this, but I’m not sure what it’s called. Who do you think I should ask?”

6 Explicit Knowledge questions:
- e.g. who was more confident? who was smarter? was she guessing? what did she look like?

RESULTS

Directional One-sample t-tests:
Endorse: Only 5-6 year-olds preferred to endorse the certain speaker above chance, t(31) = 1.833, p = .038.
Ages 3-4 showed a non-significant effect.

Ask: Neither age group reliably sought new info from the previously certain speaker.

Explicit Knowledge: Both groups correctly answered above chance: younger t(33) = 1.949, p = .03 and older t(31) = 4.724, p = .000.

CONCLUSIONS

Children’s answers to the explicit questions suggest they are sensitive to paralinguistic cues of certainty.

However, selective learning based on paralinguistic cues alone was not very robust.

Only by ages 5-6 did children prefer to endorse the labels of speakers who exhibit paralinguistic cues of certainty (over uncertainty).
- late compared to other cues to credibility

Understanding that a previously certain labeller is more likely to be a credible source for learning new words (than a previously uncertain labeller) is better explained by differences in ToM than age.
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